## Birth intervals and reversible contraception in sub-Saharan Africa

Kandala Ngianga-Bakwin Research Fellow

R William Stones\* Senior Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynaecology

'Opportunities and Choices' Programme of reproductive health research

Southampton Statistical Sciences Research Institute

University of Southampton

\*Correspondence:

Level F(815)

Princess Anne Hospital

Southampton SO16 5YA

UK

r.w.stones@soton.ac.uk

The interval between births is associated with child survival in the developing world. Short birth intervals contribute to mortality risk extending beyond the first year of life and the effect is apparent even after taking into account other potential determinants such as maternal ill health or access to health services, and allowing for the uneven quality of data available for use in multivariate analyses (1).

## Participants, methods, and results

We analysed data from successive Demographic and Health Surveys (2) undertaken in nine sub-Saharan African countries: Burkina Faso (1992 and 1999), Cameroon (1991 and 1998), Ghana (1993 and 1998), Kenya (1993 and 1998), Madagascar (1992 and 1997), Malawi (1992 and 2000), Niger (1992 and 1998), Tanzania (1992, 1996 and 1999), and Zambia (1992, 1996 and 2001). We tabulated the percentages of women reporting a preceding birth interval of  $\leq$  or > 24 months with the percentages reporting current use of modern reversible contraception. We used a logistic regression of pooled data from the four countries where use of reversible contraception is substantial to calculate the crude odds ratio of having a birth interval of  $\leq$  24 months during 1991-3 (reference group) and 1999-2001. We then added residence in urban or rural areas, education, mother's age, household income and breastfeeding practice to the model.

The table shows that there have been small changes in the percentage of birth intervals  $\leq 24$  months reported during the five to nine years between first and last surveys in the nine countries, ranging from a decrease of 6.5% (Cameroon and Madagascar) to an increase of 6.4% (Ghana). Over the same period use of modern methods of reversible contraception fell in Burkina Faso (-0.4%) and Ghana (-4.7%) but rose in the other countries with Malawi showing the greatest increase of 15.3%. Use of intrauterine contraception was low in the initial surveys but fell further in all

2

countries. In countries with relatively high use of reversible contraception the overall odds ratio for the trend was 0.90 (95%CI 0.88 to 0.92) and this was unaffected by adjusting for the other variables. The odds of a short birth interval were reduced among those exclusively breast feeding (OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.74 to 0.90) and increased by use of injectable contraception (OR 1.23, 95% CI 1.15 to 1.31).

## Comment

Our results show that use of modern reversible contraception in the region is low, and where use has increased substantially as in Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia this has not been accompanied by any impact on the percentage of short birth intervals. Progress towards attainment of the Millennium Development Goals for child mortality is well behind target in sub-Saharan Africa. This has been ascribed to the presence of civil unrest in some countries and to the burden of the HIV epidemic (3). These risks are magnified by around one fifth of births still occurring at an interval of less than two years. Provision of effective reversible contraception is critical in a setting where breastfeeding advice and behaviour are changing through interventions to prevent maternal to child transmission of HIV; breastfeeding duration has long been recognised as the major determinant of birth interval (4) and our findings demonstrate its continued importance. Surprisingly, we have shown the use of injectable contraception to be associated adversely with birth interval, thus not contributing any potential child survival benefit.

Our study highlights the very low and declining use of intrauterine contraception in the region; international guidelines with restrictive medical eligibility criteria (5) are currently under revision. Research is needed to assess whether renewed emphasis on the IUD among a broad mix of contraceptive methods has potential to reduce the proportion of short birth intervals in Africa.

3

## References

- Defo BK. Effects of infant feeding practices and birth spacing on infant and child survival: A reassessment from retrospective and prospective data. Journal of Biosocial Science 1997; 29:303-326.
- (2) MEASURE DHS+. Demographic and Health Surveys. Providing information for informed decisions in population, health, and nutrition. www.measuredhs.com (accessed 29-2-2004).
- (3) The World Bank Group. Millennium Development Goals 4: Reduce child mortality. http://www.developmentgoals.org/Child\_Mortality.htm (accessed 29-2-2004).
- (4) Smith DP. Breastfeeding, contraception and birth intervals in developing countries. Studies in Family Planning 1985; 16:154-163.
- (5) Department of Reproductive Health and Research (RHR) WHO. Improving access to quality care in family planning: Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use. http://www.who.int/reproductive-health/publications/RHR\_00\_2\_medical\_eligibility\_criteria\_second\_edition/inde x.htm (accessed 29-2-2004).

Country	Year of survey		Birth Interval %	(No)	Modern Reversible Con	ıtraception	
			$\leq$ 24 months	>24 months	All methods % (No)	Injectables %	ND %
Burkina Faso		1992	17.6 (821)	82.5 (3858)	6.1 (357)	0.3	1.0
	1999		19 (925)	81 (3946)	5.7 (337)	1.2	0.3
Cameroon		1991	28 (746)	72 (1923)	5.4(180)	0.5	0.6
	1998		21.5 (377)	78.5 (1380)	6.5 (151)	0.9	0.2
Ghana		1993	14.9 (260)	85.1 (1489)	7.9 (174)	1.1	0.4
	1998		21.3 (705)	78.7 (2605)	3.2 (131)	3.6	0.3
Kenya		1993	30.6 (1494)	69.4 (3383)	20.6 (1257)	7.6	2.2
	1998		26.7 (707)	73.3 (1939)	21.9 (773)	10.5	1.0
Madagascar		1992	35.1 (1461)	64.9 (2706)	4.3 (225)	1.7	0.4
	1997		28.6 (812)	71.4 (2026)	7.5 (275)	4.4	0.2
Malawi		1992	23.9 (871)	76.1 (2781)	7.9 (357)	1.6	0.3
	2000		20.7 (1861)	79.3 (7141)	23.2 (2772)	18.2	0.1
Niger		1992	29.8 (1743)	70.2 (4100)	6.4 (443)	1.5	0.5
-	1998		23.6 (920)	76.4 (2981)	7.3 (349)	2.2	0.2
Tanzania		1992	21.3 (1349)	78.7 (4971)	4.9 (402)	5.9	0.3
	1996		20.1 (1066)	79.9 (4250)	14.9(1009)	7.4	0.4
	1999		21.5 (538)	78.5 (1961)	16.8 (541)	0.2	0.5
Zambia		1992	22.8 (1121)	77.2 (3786)	7.6 (480)	0.2	0.3
	1996		22.9 (1297)	77.1 (4357)	12.5 (906)	0.9	0.1
	2001		19.9 (1065)	80.1 (4282)	18.1 (1241)	4.2	0.03

Table: Preceding birth interval, Demographic and Health Surveys.

S